

Supplemental Material

“The Complicated Partisan Effects of State Election Laws”

by Burden, Canon, Mayer, and Moynihan

Political Research Quarterly

Table A1. Descriptive Statistics on Election Law Variables

	2004		2008		2012	
	States	Counties	States	Counties	States	Counties
Early Voting	20	1,585	18	1,351	19	1,413
Early Voting + SDR	4	158	6	395	5	337
Early Voting + EDR	2	45	2	45	2	45
Early Voting + EDR + SDR	3	111	6	319	7	320
EDR	4	110	4	110	4	110

**Table A2. Election Laws and the County
Democratic Vote Share in 2004, 2008, and 2012
Controlling for Voter Turnout**

	2004	2008	2012
Voter Turnout	.12 (.11)	.10 (.13)	-.04 (.17)
Early Voting	-6.23*** (2.20)	-5.73** (2.77)	-6.07** (2.70)
Early Voting + SDR	-1.35 (2.46)	.07 (2.79)	-2.25 (4.05)
Early Voting + EDR	-13.51*** (2.17)	-11.07*** (2.50)	-8.19*** (2.80)
Early Voting + EDR + SDR	4.46 (2.93)	7.46** (3.03)	5.20 (3.18)
EDR	5.58*** (2.01)	5.13** (2.39)	6.64** (3.21)
30 Day Closing Date	-.62 (1.76)	-2.39 (2.56)	-8.12* (4.05)
ID Requirement	-4.64** (2.26)	-2.15 (2.73)	-6.53** (2.75)
Ex-Felons Barred	.54 (2.40)	-2.82 (2.90)	-1.46 (2.31)
Percent African-American	.48*** (.05)	.52*** (.04)	.61*** (.05)
Percent Hispanic	.23*** (7.02)	.29*** (.07)	.31** (.07)
Median Income (in 1,000s)	-.18** (.08)	-.06 (.08)	-.09 (.09)
Percent College Graduates	.50*** (.10)	.49*** (.09)	.89*** (.17)
Percent 65 or Older	.12*** (.04)	.50*** (.18)	.49*** (.18)
Population (in 100,000s)	.12*** (.04)	.09** (.04)	.11*** (.04)
Population Density	.0003*** (.0001)	.0003** (.0001)	.0003** (.0001)
North Dakota	2.71 (3.09)	-6.70** (3.32)	-6.50* (3.65)
Oregon	3.78* (2.08)	6.40*** (2.31)	4.05 (2.55)
Washington	5.90** (2.22)	9.84*** (3.32)	11.18*** (2.63)
Constant	21.14*** (7.02)	22.15*** (7.23)	28.27*** (6.65)
R^2	.59	.60	.63
N	3,110	3,109	3,110

*** $p < .01$ ** $p < .05$, * $p < .10$, two-tailed test. Cell entries are OLS regression estimates with robust standard errors clustered by state in parentheses. Estimates are weighted by population.

Table A3. Stacked Difference-in-Difference Models of the County Democratic Vote Share (2004 to 2008 and 2008 to 2012)

	Any changes to early voting or EDR		Changes to specific combinations of election laws	
Δ Early Voting	-.26 (.30)	-.27 (.26)	--	--
Δ EDR	1.11*** (.37)	2.45*** (.65)	--	--
Δ Early Voting	--	--	-.16 (.33)	-.64** (.25)
Δ Early Voting + SDR	--	--	-.49 (.42)	.14 (.31)
Δ Early Voting + EDR + SDR	--	--	.88* (.46)	1.94*** (.73)
Percent African-American	--	.058*** (.005)	--	.057*** (.005)
Percent Hispanic	--	.065*** (.004)	--	.068*** (.005)
Median Income (in 1,000s)	--	.029*** (.007)	--	.032*** (.007)
Percent College Graduates	--	.017* (.009)	--	.015 (.009)
Percent 65 or Older	--	-.12*** (.02)	--	-.12*** (.02)
Population (in 100,000s)	--	-.008** (.004)	--	-.006 (.004)
Population Density	--	-.000016 (.000014)	--	-.000016 (.000014)
2008 to 2012 Indicator	-6.45*** (.18)	-6.75*** (.20)	-6.51*** (.20)	-6.63*** (.21)
Constant	11.24*** (.31)	9.78*** (.48)	11.34*** (.35)	9.45*** (.49)
R^2	.49	.59	.49	.59
N	6,224	6,223	6,223	6,223

*** $p < .01$ ** $p < .05$ * $p < .10$, two-tailed test. Cell entries are linear regression coefficients with robust standard errors clustered by county in parentheses. Dependent variable and key independent variables are differenced.