

Supporting Information
“Sore Loser Laws and Congressional Polarization”
Barry C. Burden, Bradley Jones, and Michael S. Kang

As explained in the article, this appendix offers two alternative versions of Table 3. The first (Table 3.1) shows separate models for states with direct sore loser laws and those with bans on cross-filing. The second (Table 3.2) shows models that included lagged dependent variables.

TABLE 3.1
Separate Models for Direct Sore Loser Laws and Indirect Bans on Cross-Filing

Explanatory Variable	Direct Sore Loser Laws	Indirect Bans on Cross- Filing	Direct Sore Loser Laws	Indirect Bans on Cross- Filing
Sore Loser Law in Effect × Republican	-2.82 (2.64)	6.84** (1.68)		
Sore Loser Law in Effect	-.95 (2.78)	-3.92** (1.66)		
Number of Years Sore Loser Law in Effect × Republican			.03 (.04)	.15*** (.04)
Number of Years Sore Loser Law in Effect			.002 (.036)	-.053* (.029)
Republican	25.52*** (.69)	25.09*** (.88)	27.19*** (1.25)	25.07*** (.799)
Presidential Vote	.24*** (.04)	.33*** (.03)	.37*** (.03)	.36*** (.03)
Constant	-27.93*** (2.22)	-27.29*** (1.86)	-12.05*** (1.11)	-11.27*** (1.07)
State and Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	No	No
Controls for Other Nomination Laws	Yes	Yes	No	No
Number of Cases	12,247	12,247	12,247	12,247
Number of Clusters	50	50	50	50
R^2	.848	.847	.772	.782

Notes: * $p < .10$, ** $p < .05$, *** $p < .01$, two-tailed test. Dependent variable is the first dimension DW-NOMINATE score rescaled from -50 (most liberal) to 50 (most conservative). Presidential vote has also been rescaled from -50 (most Democratic) to 50 (most Republican). Model is ordinary least squares regression with standard errors clustered by state. Analysis limited to Democrats and Republicans. State and year fixed effects are included but not reported.

TABLE 3.2
Models Including Lagged Dependent Variables

Explanatory Variable	Model 1	Model 2
Sore Loser Law in Effect × Republican	.18* (.10)	
Sore Loser Law in Effect	.21** (.06)	
Number of Years Sore Loser Law in Effect × Republican		.009*** (.002)
Number of Years Sore Loser Law in Effect		.002 (.002)
Republican	-.17 (.12)	-.09 (.13)
Lagged DW-NOMINATE Score	50.81*** (.19)	50.79*** (.20)
Constant	-.24** (.11)	-.31** (.11)
Number of Cases	10,665	10,665
Number of Clusters	50	50
R^2	.998	.998

Notes: * $p < .10$, ** $p < .05$, *** $p < .01$, two-tailed test. Dependent variable is the first dimension DW-NOMINATE score rescaled from -50 (most liberal) to 50 (most conservative). Presidential vote has also been rescaled from -50 (most Democratic) to 50 (most Republican). Model is ordinary least squares regression with standard errors clustered by state. Analysis limited to Democrats and Republicans. State fixed effects are included but not reported.